Carnegie Mellon University Heinzcollege

## 95-865 Australia Lecture 3: Clustering Part I

George Chen

Suppose Netflix asks you how to go about understanding what kind of TV show it should produce next. How would you go about doing it?

# METFICIEU II

Image source: http://static3.businessinsider.com/image/58f900e37522cacd008b4ee9/scottgalloway-netflix-could-be-the-next-300-billion-company.jpg

## We want to understand user tastes

## **Movie Recommendation Data**

Ratings matrix



User *n* 

We can also scrape IMDb for a lot of semantic information (actresses, actors, genres, reviews, etc) about movies/TV shows

## When looking for structure, it's helpful to hypothesize what structure there might be

## **Movie Recommendation Data**



Simple hypothesis: There are clusters of users with similar taste

## Is the Hypothesis on Users True?

black = user dislikes movie

white = user likes movie





• There usually is no "best" way to define similarity

Example: cosine similarity between users



 $\frac{\langle Y_u, Y_v \rangle}{\|Y_u\| \|Y_v\|} = 0$ 



• There usually is no "best" way to define similarity

Example: cosine similarity

$$\frac{\langle Y_u, Y_v \rangle}{\|Y_u\| \|Y_v\|}$$

Also popular: define a distance first and then turn it into a similarity

**Example:** Euclidean distance  $||Y_u - Y_v||$ 

Turn into similarity with decaying exponential

$$\exp(-\gamma \| Y_u - Y_v \|)$$
  
where  $\gamma >$ 

( )

## **Example: Time Series**

How would you compute a distance between these?



## **Example: Time Series**

How would you compute a distance between these?



## **Example: Time Series**

How would you compute a distance between these?



One solution: Align them first

In practice: for time series, very popular to use "dynamic time warping" to first align (it works kind of like how spell check does for words)

# **Similarity Diagnostics**

- As you try different similarity functions, easy thing to check:
  - Pick any data point
  - Compute its similarity to all the other data points, and rank them in decreasing order from most similar to least similar
  - Inspect the top most similar data points do they seem reasonable?

If the most similar points are not interpretable, it's quite likely that your similarity function isn't very good =(

## Going from Similarities to Clusters

There's a whole zoo of clustering methods

Two main categories we'll talk about:

### Generative models

1. Pretend data generated by specific model with parameters

2. Learn the parameters ("fit model to data")

3. Use fitted model to determine cluster assignments

## Hierarchical clustering

Top-down: Start with everything in 1 cluster and decide on how to recursively split

Bottom-up: Start with everything in its own cluster and decide on how to iteratively merge clusters

We start here

## We're going to start with perhaps the most famous of clustering methods

It won't yet be apparent what this method has to do with generative models







Step 2: Assign each point to belong to the closest cluster



Step 2: Assign each point to belong to the closest cluster

Step 3: Update cluster means (to be the center of mass per cluster)



Step 2: Assign each point to belong to the closest cluster

Step 3: Update cluster means (to be the center of mass per cluster)



k-means Step 1: Pick guesses for Step 0: Pick k where cluster centers are We'll pick k = 2Example: choose k of the points uniformly at random to be initial guesses for cluster centers (There are many ways to make the initial guesses) Step 2: Assign each point to belong to the closest cluster

Repeat Step 3: Update cluster means (to be the center of mass per cluster)



Repeat Step 3: Update cluster means (to be the center of mass per cluster)





Repeat Step 3: Update cluster means (to be the center of mass per cluster)

#### Repeat until convergence:

Step 0: Pick k

We'll pick k = 2

Example: choose *k* of the points uniformly at random to be initial guesses for cluster centers (There are many ways to make the initial guesses)

Step 1: Pick guesses for

where cluster centers are

Step 2: Assign each point to belong to the closest cluster

k-means

Step 3: Update cluster means (to be the center of mass per cluster)

## k-means

Final output: cluster centers, cluster assignment for every point



Suggested way to pick initial cluster centers: "*k*-means++" method (rough intuition: incrementally add centers; favor adding center far away from centers chosen so far)

## When does k-means work well?

*k*-means is related to a more general model, which will help us understand *k*-means

## Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

What random process could have generated these points?

## **Generative Process**

Think of flipping a coin

each outcome: heads or tails

Each flip doesn't depend on any of the previous flips

## **Generative Process**

Think of flipping a coin

each outcome: 2D point

Each flip doesn't depend on any of the previous flips

Okay, maybe it's bizarre to think of it as a coin...

If it helps, just think of it as you pushing a button and a random 2D point appears...

## Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

We now discuss a way to generate points in this manner

# Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

Assume: points sampled independently from a probability distribution



Example of a 2D probability distribution

Image source: https://www.intechopen.com/source/html/17742/media/image25.png

## Quick Reminder: 1D Gaussian



Image source: https://matthew-brett.github.io/teaching//smoothing\_intro-3.hires.png

## 2D Gaussian



#### This is a 2D Gaussian distribution

Image source: https://i.stack.imgur.com/OIWce.png

# Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

Assume: points sampled independently from a probability distribution



Example of a 2D probability distribution

Image source: https://www.intechopen.com/source/html/17742/media/image25.png
# Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

- For a fixed value k and dimension d, a GMM is the sum of k d-dimensional Gaussian distributions so that the overall probability distribution looks like k mountains (We've been looking at d = 2)
  - Each mountain corresponds to a different cluster
  - Different mountains can have different peak heights
  - One missing thing we haven't discussed yet: different mountains can have different shapes

# **2D Gaussian Shape**

In 1D, you can have a skinny Gaussian or a wide Gaussian

Less uncertainty

More uncertainty

In 2D, you can more generally have ellipse-shaped Gaussians

Ellipse enables encoding relationship between variables



Can't have arbitrary shapes

Top-down view of an example 2D Gaussian distribution

Image source: https://www.cs.colorado.edu/~mozer/Teaching/syllabi/ProbabilisticModels2013/ homework/assign5/a52dgauss.jpg

# Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

- For a fixed value k and dimension d, a GMM is the sum of k d-dimensional Gaussian distributions so that the overall probability distribution looks like k mountains (We've been looking at d = 2)
  - Each mountain corresponds to a different cluster
  - Different mountains can have different peak heights
  - Different mountains can have different ellipse shapes (captures "covariance" information)

### Cluster 1

### Cluster 2

Probability of generating a point from cluster 1 = 0.5

Gaussian mean = -5

Gaussian std dev = 1

Probability of generating a point from cluster 2 = 0.5

Gaussian mean = 5

Gaussian std dev = 1

What do you think this looks like?

### Cluster 1

Probability of generating a point from cluster 1 = 0.5

Gaussian mean = -5

Gaussian std dev = 1

### Cluster 2

Probability of generating a point from cluster 2 = 0.5Gaussian mean = 5

Gaussian std dev = 1



### Cluster 1

### <u>Cluster 2</u>

Probability of generating a point from cluster 1 = 0.7

- Gaussian mean = -5
- Gaussian std dev = 1

Probability of generating a point from cluster 2 = **0.3** 

Gaussian mean = 5

Gaussian std dev = 1

What do you think this looks like?

#### Cluster 1

Probability of generating a point from cluster 1 = 0.7

Gaussian mean = -5

Gaussian std dev = 1

### <u>Cluster 2</u>

Probability of generating a point from cluster 2 = 0.3Gaussian mean = 5

Gaussian std dev = 1



### Cluster 1

### <u>Cluster 2</u>

Probability of generating a point from cluster 1 = 0.7

Gaussian mean = -5

Gaussian std dev = 1

Probability of generating a point from cluster 2 = 0.3

Gaussian mean = 5

Gaussian std dev = 1

- 1. Flip biased coin (with probability of heads 0.7)
- 2. If heads: sample 1 point from Gaussian mean -5, std dev 1 If tails: sample 1 point from Gaussian mean 5, std dev 1

### Cluster 1

### Cluster 2

Probability of generating a point from cluster  $1 = \pi_1$ 

Gaussian mean =  $\mu_1$ 

Gaussian std dev =  $\sigma_1$ 

Probability of generating a point from cluster  $2 = \pi_2$ 

Gaussian mean =  $\mu_2$ 

Gaussian std dev =  $\sigma_2$ 

- 1. Flip biased coin (with probability of heads  $\pi_1$ )
- 2. If heads: sample 1 point from Gaussian mean  $\mu_1$ , std dev  $\sigma_1$ If tails: sample 1 point from Gaussian mean  $\mu_2$ , std dev  $\sigma_2$

### Cluster 1

Probability of generating a
point from cluster $1 = \pi_1$

Gaussian mean =  $\mu_1$ 

Gaussian std dev =  $\sigma_1$ 

### Cluster k

Probability of generating a point from cluster  $k = \pi_k$ Gaussian mean =  $\mu_k$ 

Gaussian std dev =  $\sigma_k$ 

- 1. Flip biased k-sided coin (the sides have probabilities  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ )
- 2. Let Z be the side that we got (it is some value 1, ..., k)
- 3. Sample 1 point from Gaussian mean  $\mu_Z$ , std dev  $\sigma_Z$

### Cluster 1

Probability of generating a
point from cluster $1 = \pi_1$

Gaussian mean =  $\mu_1$ 

Gaussian std dev =  $\sigma_1$ 

### Cluster k

Probability of generating a point from cluster  $k = \pi_k$ Gaussian mean =  $\mu_k$ 

Gaussian std dev =  $\sigma_k$ 

- 1. Flip biased k-sided coin (the sides have probabilities  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ )
- 2. Let Z be the side that we got (it is some value 1, ..., k)
- 3. Sample 1 point from Gaussian mean  $\mu_Z$ , std dev  $\sigma_Z$

### Cluster 1

### <u>Cluster k</u>

- Probability of generating a point from cluster  $1 = \pi_1$ Gaussian mean  $= \mu_1$  2D point Gaussian **covariance**  $= \Sigma_1$ How to generate **2D** points from this GMM: **1** Flip biased k sided asin (the sides have probabilities  $= -\pi_1$ )
  - 1. Flip biased k-sided coin (the sides have probabilities  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ )
  - 2. Let Z be the side that we got (it is some value 1, ..., k)
  - 3. Sample 1 point from Gaussian mean  $\mu_Z$ , **covariance**  $\Sigma_Z$

# GMM with k Clusters

### Cluster 1

# Probability of generating a point from cluster $1 = \pi_1$ .

Gaussian mean =  $\mu_1$ 

Gaussian covariance =  $\Sigma_1$ 

### Cluster k

Probability of generating a point from cluster  $k = \pi_k$ 

Gaussian mean =  $\mu_k$ 

Gaussian covariance =  $\Sigma_k$ 

- 1. Flip biased k-sided coin (the sides have probabilities  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ )
- 2. Let *Z* be the side that we got (it is some value 1, ..., *k*)
- 3. Sample 1 point from Gaussian mean  $\mu_Z$ , covariance  $\Sigma_Z$

# High-Level Idea of GMM

• Generative model that gives a *hypothesized* way in which data points are generated

In reality, data are unlikely generated the same way!

In reality, data points might not even be independent!



### "All models are wrong, but some are useful."

-George Edward Pelham Box

Photo: "George Edward Pelham Box, Professor Emeritus of Statistics, University of Wisconsin-Madison" by DavidMCEddy is licensed under CC BY-SA 3.0

# High-Level Idea of GMM

Generative model that gives a *hypothesized* way in which data points are generated

In reality, data are unlikely generated the same way! In reality, data points might not even be independent!

- Learning ("fitting") the parameters of a GMM
  - Input: *d*-dimensional data points, your guess for *k*
  - Output:  $\pi_1, ..., \pi_k, \mu_1, ..., \mu_k, \Sigma_1, ..., \Sigma_k$
- After learning a GMM:
  - For any *d*-dimensional data point, can figure out probability of it belonging to each of the clusters

How do you turn this into a cluster assignment?

### Repeat until convergence:

Step 0: Pick k

We'll pick k = 2

Example: choose *k* of the points uniformly at random to be initial guesses for cluster centers (There are many ways to make the initial guesses)

Step 1: Pick guesses for

where cluster centers are

Step 2: Assign each point to belong to the closest cluster

k-means

Step 3: Update cluster means (to be the center of mass per cluster)

### k-means

Step 0: Pick k

Step 1: Pick <u>guesses</u> for where cluster centers are

### Repeat until convergence:

Step 2: Assign each point to belong to the closest cluster

Step 3: Update cluster means (to be the center of mass per cluster)

# (Rough Intuition) Learning a GMM

Step 0: Pick k

Step 1: Pick guesses for cluster means and covariances

### Repeat until convergence:

Step 2: Compute probability of each point belonging to each of the *k* clusters

Step 3: Update **cluster means and covariances** carefully accounting for probabilities of each point belonging to each of the clusters

This algorithm is called the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm specifically for GMM's (and approximately does maximum likelihood) (Note: EM by itself is a general algorithm not just for GMM's)

# Relating k-means to GMM's

If the ellipses are all circles and have the same "skinniness" (e.g., in the 1D case it means they all have same std dev):

- *k*-means approximates the EM algorithm for GMM's
- Notice that k-means does a "hard" assignment of each point to a cluster, whereas the EM algorithm does a "soft" (probabilistic) assignment of each point to a cluster

Interpretation: We know when k-means should work! It should work when the data appear as if they're from a GMM with true clusters that "look like circles"

## k-means should do well on this



### But not on this



# Learning a GMM

Demo

# Automatically Choosing k

For k = 2, 3, ... up to some user-specified max value:

Fit model using *k* 

Compute a score for the model But what score function should we use?

Use whichever k has the best score

No single way of choosing k is the "best" way

# Here's an example of a score function you don't want to use

But hey it's worth a shot






























$$RSS = RSS_1 + RSS_2 = \sum_{x \in cluster 1} ||x - \mu_1||^2 + \sum_{x \in cluster 2} ||x - \mu_2||^2$$
  
In general if there are *k* clusters:  
$$RSS = \sum_{g=1}^{k} RSS_g = \sum_{g=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in cluster g} ||x - \mu_g||^2$$

Davidual Cum of Causeroe

Remark: *k*-means *tries* to minimize RSS (it does so *approximately*, with no guarantee of optimality) Cluster 1 RSS only really makes sense for clusters that look like circles

# Why is minimizing RSS a bad way to choose *k*?

What happens when k is equal to the number of data points?

# A Good Way to Choose k

RSS measures within-cluster variation

$$W = \text{RSS} = \sum_{g=1}^{k} \text{RSS}_g = \sum_{g=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in \text{cluster } g} ||x - \mu_g||^2$$

Want to also measure between-cluster variation

$$B = \sum_{g=1}^{k} (\text{\# points in cluster } g) \|\mu_g - \mu\|^2$$
  
Called the **CH index**  
[Calinski and Harabasz 1974]  
A good score function to use for choosing k:  
$$CH(k) = \frac{B \cdot (n-k)}{W \cdot (k-1)}$$
Pick k with highest CH(k)  
$$R = \text{total \# points}$$
Pick k among 2, 3, ... up to  
pre-specified max)

# Automatically Choosing k

Demo